UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTO | R ATT | ORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/502,233 | 07/22/2004 | Robert A Daines | | P32976 | 1508 | |
| | 7590 03/01/200 BEECHAM CORPOR | | EXAMINER | | | |
| CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 | | | | DAVIS, ZINNA NORTHINGTON | | |
| P. O. BOX 1539 KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 14. C 01 11. C 02 11. C 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 | | | | 1625 | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY | PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 03/01/2007 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) | Office Action Summary | Part o | f Paper No./Mail Date 20070227 | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/04. | | = | · | | | |
| Attachment(s) | • | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office a | action for a list of the certified | copies not received. | | | | |
| • • | national Bureau (PCT Rule 1 | · • • | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified cop | • • | | n this National Stage | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the price | ority documents have been re | eceived. | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None | - , , | | ,, 0. (.). | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a cl | laim for foreign priority under | 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d | l) or (f). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is object | ed to by the Examiner. Note | the attached Office Ac | ction or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) incli | | | • • | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any | • • • | - | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed onis | · | objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to b | ov the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to re | estriction and/or election requ | irement. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected | • | , | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,14 and 17</u> is/are r | rejected. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> i | • | eration. | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,14 and 17</u> is/are p | pending in the application. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the p | ractice under Ex parte Quayl | e, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 (| O.G. 213. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This action is non- | | • | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s | s) filed on | | | | | |
| Status | | • | | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period fo Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 | HE MAILING DATE OF THIS visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, it communication. The statutory period will apply and will expressly will, by statute, cause the application this after the mailing date of this communication. | COMMUNICATION. nowever, may a reply be timely be solved in the solved i | filed mailing date of this communication. 35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO | OD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E | XPIRE 3 MONTH(S) | OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply | munication appears on the co | ver sheet with the corr | respondence address | | | |
| | Zinna Northin | | 625 | | | |
| Office Action Summar | Y Examiner | A | rt Unit | | | |
| | 10/502,233 | D | AINES ET AL. | | | |
| | Application | 1 | pplicant(s) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/502,233 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11, 14, and 17 are pending.

- 2. Claims 12, 13, 15, and 16 have been canceled.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "e.g." renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/502,233

Art Unit: 1625

6. Claims 1-11,14, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same

invention as that of claims of prior U.S. Patent No. 7,109,213. This is a double

patenting rejection.

The claimed subject matter is identical. See the instant claims.

7. The Information Disclosure Statement filed June 22, 2004 has been considered.

The references alone or in combination forms do not teach nor suggest the structurally

similar compounds as the examined subject matter. Accordingly, no rejections bases

upon prior art are made.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Zinna Northington Davis whose telephone number is

571-272-0682.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zinna Northington Davis
Primary Examiner

Page 3

Art Unit 1625